

3. Planetary Changes

If an accurate view of the causes of climate change is to be obtained, it must be properly recognised that ALL planets (with an atmosphere) in the solar system seem to be undergoing change. Basic, referenced data is presented in this section and, once again, this should be *carefully reviewed* and *not ignored*. It can be seen that in the last 30 years, significant *changes* (i.e. not specifically “warming” alone) have been observed and recorded in ALL planets of the solar system. The significance of this should be obvious. A paper/article by Wm. Robert Johnston provides some additional comparisons to the ones shown below¹⁹.

3.1 Venus – Changes in Composition of Atmosphere

“Our model shows Venus has changed dynamically in the recent past,” said Bullock. “Since Venus and Earth have a number of similarities, there are implications here for our own future.” An article by Bullock and Grinspoon regarding global change on Venus appears in the March issue of Scientific American.²⁰

3.2 Mars – Polar Ice and Hexagon Shape?



“Particularly evident is the marked hexagonal shape of the polar cap at this season, noted previously by HST in 1995 and Mariner 9 in 1972; this may be due to topography, which isn’t well known, or to wave structure in the circulation. This map was assembled from WFPC2 images obtained between Dec. 30, 1996 and Jan. 4, 1997.”²¹

3.3 Jupiter

3.3.1 April 2004 - Researcher predicts global climate change on Jupiter as giant planet’s spots disappear.

“According to Marcus, the imminent changes signal the end of Jupiter’s current 70-year climate cycle. His surprising predictions are published in the April 22 issue of the journal Nature.”²²

¹⁹ <http://www.johnstonsarchive.net/environment/warmingplanets.html>

²⁰ <http://www.colorado.edu/news/releases/1999/65.html>

²¹ <http://www.xtec.es/recursos/astrom/hst/hst2/9715b.htm>

²² http://www.berkeley.edu/news/media/releases/2004/04/21_jupiter.shtml




Keighley



Keighley

2.12.1 Shot of England from Space on 18 Oct 2007

Europe_2_01 - Date: 2007/291 - 10/18, True color - Satellite: Terra - Pixel size: 1km




Why are all the clouds in France in lines – like ripples on a pond? (Photo now archived and not easily accessible¹⁷.)

¹⁷ http://rapidfire.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/subsets/?Europe_2_01/2007291/Europe_2_01.2007291.terra.1km.jpg

3.4 Saturn: "Saturn's rotation puts astronomers in a spin"

The most commonly cited figure for Saturn's rotation period - 10 hours, 39 minutes and 22.4 seconds - was derived in 1980 from Voyager observations of radio waves generated by solar radiation hitting the planet's atmosphere. Yet Cassini has returned a result almost 8 minutes longer, a difference that defies easy explanation.²⁶




3.4.1 Saturn's Polar Hexagon

What if there are "resonance wave" effects in the atmosphere creating centres of warming or cooling?²⁷ This could be what is happening on Saturn.²⁸

3.4.2 Huge Storm on Saturn – 29 December 2010²⁹



22nd Dec 2010³⁰



Cassini Photo³¹



February 8, 2011³²



February 8, 2011

²⁶ <http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn9100-saturns-rotation-puts-astronomers-in-a-spin.html>

²⁷ <http://news.discovery.com/space/saturns-north-pole-hexagon-mystery-solved.html>

²⁸ <http://www.planetary.org/blog/article/00002471/>

²⁹ <http://www.spaceweather.com/swpod2010/28dec10/wesley1.jpg?PHPSESSID=fsj7fe642n28prenc5k3ga432>

³⁰ <http://www.spaceweather.com/archive.php?view=1&dav=28&month=12&year=2010>

³¹ <http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap110119.html>

³² <http://saturn.cstoneind.com/>

was travelling at 500 mph for the time the trail was forming, this means that the trail persisted for at least:

$$364 / (500 * 8/5) = 0.455 \text{ hours} = \underline{\underline{27 \text{ minutes!}}}$$

(and it could be longer, since the satellite photo may have been taken AFTER the trail had formed.)

2.12 Case Study - Many Trails seen around the UK, and from Space – 18th Oct 2007

All photos in this section were taken on 18th Oct 2007¹⁶.



Derby



M1 to Leeds




Leeds



Leeds

¹⁶ http://www.checktheevidence.co.uk/cms/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=142&Itemid=50


3.6 Neptune – “Seasonal Changes”?



Seasons on Neptune occur for the same reasons as on Earth. The seasonal changes on both planets occur because their axes tilt slightly. Earth is inclined 23.5 degrees. Neptune is tipped at an even greater angle: 29 degrees. As both planets travel around the Sun, their southern and northern hemispheres are alternately tipped toward or away from the Sun.³⁶

But is this really correct? The time period covered is 6 years. However, Neptune’s orbital period is 165 years – ¼ of this would be approximately 41 years...

Caption: A time series of images of the planet Neptune taken by the Hubble Space Telescope illustrate increasing cloudiness that is a hallmark of seasonal change. The growing bands of clouds in the southern hemisphere of the planet suggest seasonal change. Because the planet takes about 165 years to orbit the sun, the seasons on Neptune last more than 40 years.³⁷



So, a season lasts 6 years – or 40 years?

3.7 Pluto: Pluto is undergoing global warming, researchers find

Pluto is undergoing global warming, as evidenced by a three-fold increase in the planet’s atmospheric pressure during the past 14 years, a team of astronomers from Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Williams College, the University of Hawaii, Lowell Observatory and Cornell University announced in a press conference today at the annual meeting of the American Astronomical Society’s (AAS) Division for Planetary Sciences in Birmingham, AL.³⁸

³⁶ <http://tellus.ssec.wisc.edu/outreach/neptune.htm>

³⁷ <http://www.news.wisc.edu/newsphotos/neptune.html>

³⁸ <http://web.mit.edu/newsoffice/2002/pluto.html>



12th Sept 2005, 09-31, Lake District



24th Jan 2006, 17-20, Borrowash



24th Jan 2006, 17-20, Borrowash



8th August, 2005, 13-04 Embsay, Yorkshire



29th Oct 2006, 17-09 Borrowash



Morecambe, May 2007 (Cell phone Camera)



Bognor Regis, May 07, 2007, 18-04

4. Solar Activity and Climate and the MWP

4.1 Solar Activity

4.1.1 The Maunder Minimum

It is far too often that the enormity of the sun is overlooked. For example, a 1976 paper concludes there probably was a link between sunspot activity and “the little ice age”.

In a paper entitled “The Maunder Minimum” by John Eddy⁴⁴, the conclusions note

The coincidence of Maunder's "prolonged solar minimum" with the coldest excursion of the "Little Ice Age" has been noted by many who have looked at the possible relations between the sun and terrestrial climate (73). A lasting tree-ring anomaly which spans the same period has been cited as evidence of a concurrent drought in the American Southwest (68, 74).

The paper goes into quite some detail.

4.1.2 Jasper Kirby and Solar Activity

He was lambasted in 1998 for stating:

*that the sun and cosmic rays "will probably be able to account for somewhere between a half and the whole of the increase in the Earth's temperature that we have seen in the last century."*⁴⁵

He was involved in work at CERN with regard to the effects of cosmic rays and cloud formation⁴⁶.


4.2 The Medieval Warming Period (MWP)

This is generally disliked by the anthropogenic climate catastrophists – who have a lot of evidence to argue with - when many diverse records show that the period between about 1000 to 1300 was as warm in many parts of the world as it is now⁴⁷. Clearly, this warming cannot be blamed on industrialization!


Lord Monckton has written about this in some detail, as well as the contested “Hockey Stick” graph⁴⁸.

⁴⁴ <http://bill.smr.arizona.edu/classes/182h/Climate/Solar/Maunder%20Minimum.pdf>
⁴⁵ <http://www.nationalpost.com/news/story.html?id=975f250d-ca5d-4f40-b687-a1672ed1f684>
⁴⁶ <http://public.web.cern.ch/public/en/spotlight/SpotlightCloud-en.html>
⁴⁷ <http://pages.science-skeptical.de/MWP/MedievalWarmPeriod.html>
⁴⁸ http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/monckton/monckton_what_hockey_stick.pdf

2.7.4 Airnav Radar Box Track - EZY 239



2.8 Photos of Trails Left by These 2 Aircraft




16:32 - EZY067 – Long Trail



17:24 – EZY 239 - Short Trail
(why does only one trail persist?)

5.1.3 UK - Infra Red Photo Weather Anomalies – 10 Sep 2010, Met Office

Note criss-cross lines – west of Bay of Biscay (bottom left of photo) – original source now archived⁵¹. This criss/cross pattern appeared between 0400 and 1600 hours.



This can also be seen in a video of frames⁵².

⁵¹ http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/satpics/latest_uk_ir.html

⁵² <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rMICO1U0Jw>

2.7.1 Photographs of EZY067




These photographs were taken with a Canon SX20-IS which is a 12 Megapixel unit and has a 20x optical zoom. The lens system employs an optical image stabiliser. (Approx 1 minute difference between camera time setting and PC time setting).

16:32 – EZY067

(Photo taken facing roughly west).

2.7.2 Airnav Radar Box Track - EZY067



5.3 Hurricane Erin on 9/11

The research of Dr Judy Wood, former professor of Mechanical Engineering at Clemson University (South Carolina), into the destruction of the World Trade Centre, lead her to post a collection of data about Hurricane Erin⁵⁷. Few people are aware that this category 3 storm, comparable in diameter to Hurricane Katrina, was closest to New York City at about 8am on the morning of 9/11/2001⁵⁸. In the morning weather reports, only 2 out of 4 local news channels reported the presence of the Hurricane⁵⁹— even though it had been moving, in a fairly straight line, towards New York City since its encounter with Bermuda on 7th Sep 2001⁶⁰. (This is noteworthy because storm swells would have posed a risk of flooding – potentially to New York’s subway system, had the hurricane remained where it was on 9/11/01. Oddly, on 12 Sep 2001, the Hurricane made a right hand turn and moved off east, towards Newfoundland.)

Understanding the possible reason for the presence of this hurricane is difficult – as one has to re-analyse, at a fundamental level, the official story of 9/11 – especially in relation to the way the WTC complex was destroyed. This has been the subject of most of the rest of Dr Judy Wood’s analysis of 9/11 data and evidence, which resulted in her submitting a “qui tam” case for science fraud⁶¹ against some of the contractors whom NIST employed to contribute to the 10,000 pages of reports entitled “The Collapse of the World Trade Centre Towers”.



This increase is larger than any during the 11-14 September period for the previous 30 years, giving ammunition to critics who state that weather conditions at this specific period were very extraordinary and no scientific based conclusions could be taken. However even more surprising is the fact that the 11-14 September increase in DTR was more than twice the national average for regions of the United States where contrail coverage has previously been reported to be most abundant, such as the Midwest, Northeast and Northwest regions.

In the subsequent days after September 14th when civil flight operations resumed there was a temperature drop of about 0,8°C, denoting the return to 'normal' conditions. This underlines the impact of contrails on global surface temperatures with a noteworthy cooling down effect, especially reducing the maximum day temperatures. A new proof that aviation significantly affects climate emerged after the massive grounding in Europe in 2010 due to the volcano eruption in Iceland. It is too early to assess the quality of the survey, but the Kings College in London claims that 'airports are air polluters'.

2.3 Persistent and Non-Persistent Trails

It is emphasized that the general properties of contrails are known and recognized by people who have submitted these photos to me. The difference between these types of trails is shown in videos referenced in section 2.10. A difference is also shown in the right hand photo in section 2.8. Also, please review and study the satellite photos especially.

2.4 New Documentary Presents Evidence of Ongoing of Geoengineering

A new documentary film has just been released called “What in the World Are They Spraying” where statements by some of the scientists involved with developing geoengineering proposals are documented and challenged¹². The film also shows some of the data which some people have gathered in relation to aircraft trails and their apparent effects at ground level (which are denied by most scientists). The observed effects seem to match those discussed in some of the geoengineering proposals themselves, even though no large geoengineering projects are said to be in operation. In the film, one scientist is interviewed who explains how the pH of the soil in the area where he lives has increased from being alkaline to being neutral over the last few years – and this has affected the growth of vegetation. Other laboratory tests on snow from Mount Shasta in Northern California are also mentioned. These tests have shown anomalous high levels of strontium and aluminium – both substances which are referenced in some geoengineering/solar radiation management (SRM) research proposals.

The signatories to this report are extremely concerned by the issues covered in this film – and also feel the need for much more investigation to be done.

⁵⁶ http://weatherwars.info/?page_id=73

⁵⁷ <http://www.driudwood.com/articles/erin/>

⁵⁸ http://911digitalarchive.org/REPOSITORY/IMAGES/PHOTOS/1867_piper

⁵⁹ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WDVYIDaXsFg>

⁶⁰ http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/tropic/archive/2001/storms/erin/erin_track.gif

⁶¹ http://www.driudwood.com/articles/NIST/Qui_Tam_Wood.shtml

¹² <http://truthmediaproductions.blogspot.com/2010/03/what-in-world-are-they-spraying-part-ii.html> , <http://vimeo.com/16219493>

6. An Answer to Environmental Pollution & Destruction?

6.1 Investment in Energy Alternatives

The real problems are much, much different – and deeper and wider - than those assumed to be caused by CO₂ emissions (or any other emissions for that matter). Traditional renewable alternatives such as wind turbines, solar heating, solar photovoltaic systems and geothermal energy systems are being utilised more – as some technologies that are publicly available become cheaper and/or more robust, but all these systems have limitations because of things like energy storage and maximum energy output for a given situation (e.g. solar PV is much less useful in northern latitudes).

It is now time for a fundamental change in thinking and a realization of “how things really are”. From other research, it becomes clear than much less polluting – even non-polluting - and perhaps limitless energy sources have been perniciously (and fatally) suppressed.

6.2 Cold Fusion – Killed off by Vested Energy Interests

- Examine Cold Fusion of Research⁶⁷
- Cold Fusion Champion Murdered⁶⁸
- Fire From Water Documentary Interviewing Scientists who worked on Cold Fusion Experiments⁶⁹.

6.3 “Salter’s Duck” – Killed off in the 1980’s

Salter has drawn some attention with his “cloud maker” idea⁷⁰. However, he does not seem to talk about his “duck” device which could have produced large quantities of energy and was reviewed independently and funding was recommended. It was then withdrawn without the agreement of the independent reviewer^{71, 72, 73}.

6.4 Meyer Cell – Efficient Water Splitter – Emission-less Car

Stanley Meyer developed this extremely efficient (unconventional) electrolyser – and was eventually poisoned at a meeting with investors⁷⁴.

6.5 Safe Hydride Storage System for Hydrogen Car - Illegal

Bob Lazar’s ingenious system is deemed illegal because of the Hydride it uses - a safe material, but it is a “weapons grade material” and so cannot be sold⁷⁵.

6.6 Other Energy Issues

The cases mentioned briefly here are mentioned in more detail in a 2-hour presentation (by the author) available online^{76, 77}.

⁶⁷ <http://www.lenr-canr.org>

⁶⁸ <http://www.infinite-energy.com/whoarewe/gene.html>

⁶⁹ <http://freedomvideo.org/2010/05/fire-from-water/>

⁷⁰ <http://www.mech.ed.ac.uk/research/wavepower/rain%20making/rain%20making.htm>

⁷¹ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bdeNuRF-vE>

⁷² <http://www.cyberphysics.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/topics/energy/wave.htm>

⁷³ <http://www.vestaldesign.com/blog/2006/09/conspiracy-salters-duck/>

⁷⁴ <http://www.top-alternative-energy-sources.com/stanley-meyer.html>

⁷⁵ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CkVIONutBQQ>

"In the counsels of Government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the Military Industrial Complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists, and will persist. We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals so that security and liberty may prosper together."

In this same speech, in relation to scientific research, he also said:

...the free university, historically the fountainhead of free ideas and scientific discovery, has experienced a revolution in the conduct of research. Partly because of the huge costs involved, a government contract becomes virtually a substitute for intellectual curiosity. For every old blackboard there are now hundreds of new electronic computers. The prospect of domination of the nation's scholars by Federal employment, project allocations, and the power of money is ever present – and is gravely to be regarded. Yet, in holding scientific research and discovery in respect, as we should, we must also be alert to the equal and opposite danger that public policy could itself become the captive of a scientific-technological elite.

7.2.2 Private doubts about whether the world really is heating up:

The fact is that we can't account for the lack of warming at the moment and it is a travesty that we can't. The CERES data published in the August BAMS 09 supplement on 2008 shows there should be even more warming: but the data are surely wrong. Our observing system is inadequate.

7.2.3 Suppression of evidence:

Can you delete any emails you may have had with Keith re AR4? Keith will do likewise. He's not in at the moment – minor family crisis. Can you also email Gene and get him to do the same? I don't have his new email address. We will be getting Caspar to do likewise.

7.2.4 Fantasies of violence against prominent Climate Sceptic scientists:

Next time I see Pat Michaels at a scientific meeting, I'll be tempted to beat the crap out of him. Very tempted.

7.2.5 Attempts to disguise the inconvenient truth of the Medieval Warm Period (MWP):

.....Phil and I have recently submitted a paper using about a dozen NH records that fit this category, and many of which are available nearly 2K back—I think that trying to adopt a timeframe of 2K, rather than the usual 1K, addresses a good earlier point that Peck made w/ regard to the memo, that it would be nice to try to “contain” the putative “MWP”, even if we don't yet have a hemispheric mean reconstruction available that far back....

7.3 Many Scientists Do Not Agree with AGW Theory

7.3.1 Breaking: Less Than Half of all Published Scientists Endorse Global Warming Theory

Of 528 total papers on climate change, only 38 (7%) gave an explicit endorsement of the consensus. If one considers "implicit" endorsement (accepting the consensus without explicit statement), the figure rises to 45%. However, while only 32 papers (6%) reject the consensus outright, the largest category (48%) are neutral papers, refusing to either accept or reject the hypothesis. This is no "consensus."⁸⁴

7.3.2 Notes on “Global Warming”

I could not resist including this cartoon⁸⁵ (see section 3.3 for a good reference).

⁸⁴ http://epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Minority_Blogs&ContentRecord_id=b35c36a3-802a-23ad-46ec-6880767e7966

⁸⁵ <http://www.cs.uni.edu/~okane/warming.html>

Most people are also blind to the operation of the control group's tactics to hide their own existence and identity and the control group's ability to confuse or confound anyone trying to uncover more information about the control group. Some people become “seduced” by the wish for more power and influence and then, perhaps, are unwittingly co-opted into the larger agenda. Some people feel (for reasons discussed in section 1.5) they are helping the earth / planet / environment or they are helping to create a “positive future”.

1.4 Attitudes to Those Challenging Some of the Science

Typically, when data like that shown later in this document, is/are brought up or discussed, there is a huge adverse reaction to it and a “big fight” then ensues. Here is a typical example of this – in a TV interview with Ed Begley and Stuart Varney of Fox News, where Varney asks if the Science about climate change is conclusive and challenges various statements made by Begley, Begley states “You're spewing your nonsense again ...”⁵ I wonder if some of this reaction stems from what is discussed in section 1.5.

Similarly, famous people – who are not scientists – have made widely read comments which do not address any of the data which is included later in this document – e.g. “[Paul] McCartney, in Interview, Compares Global Warming Sceptics to Holocaust Deniers”⁶. For those who are naturally skeptical, sniggers or cries of phrases such as “Conspiracy Theory” or “Tin Foil Hat” often serve to discourage renewed, reasoned and dispassionate analysis of evidence. (This, it seems, is an example of how group mentality can be influenced and moulded.)

It should be borne in mind that finding the truth demands a most vigorous application of energy and time to investigate what is truly happening – and this investigation must take place with a clear and stated independence from corporate and other vested interests – and without recourse to either invective or preconceived notions.

1.5 Distinguishing between “Environmental Damage” and “Climate Change”

There is no doubt that human activity is damaging the environment. For example, industrial pollution and “industrialized” fishing and agricultural practices have, it is clear, destroyed habitat and caused the extinction of a number of species of flora and fauna – in various ways, and for various reasons. With the real damage caused on a daily (and large scale) basis, it is easy to manipulate people's emotions, fears and “environmental conscience” when presenting these matters (without proper distinction between “Environmental Damage” and “Climate Change”).

Most people have at least some awareness of the effects of their lifestyle on the environment, though these effects are often hidden – except when large numbers of people are affected by such things as dumping of industrial waste, depletion of fish stocks or intensive farming practices etc. Is it any wonder that some websites promote the AGW myth “out of love”⁷?

⁵ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wI2qdDtbCq&NR=1>

⁶ <http://www.foxnews.com/entertainment/2010/06/24/paul-mccartney-global-warming-holocaust-deniers/>

⁷ <http://www.skepticalscience.com/about.shtml>

7.3.5 Global Warming Petition Project

This petition was set up by Arthur B. Robinson, BS Caltech, PhD UCSD and Noah E. Robinson, BS SOU, PhD Caltech⁸⁸, and is circulated with a summary of peer-reviewed research⁸⁹. The petition has already been signed by over 30,000 scientists.

We urge the United States government to reject the global warming agreement that was written in Kyoto, Japan in December, 1997, and any other similar proposals. The proposed limits on greenhouse gases would harm the environment, hinder the advance of science and technology, and damage the health and welfare of mankind.

There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate. Moreover, there is substantial scientific evidence that increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide produce many beneficial effects upon the natural plant and animal environments of the Earth.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.1	Rationale	4
1.2	Setting Public Policy	4
1.3	Catastrophism – “Divide and Conquer” and “The Control Group”	4
1.4	Attitudes to Those Challenging Some of the Science.....	5
1.5	Distinguishing between “Environmental Damage” and “Climate Change”	5
1.6	Difference Between Modelling and Observation	6
1.7	A Warning from History.....	6
2.	PERSISTENT JET TRAILS/CHEMTRAILS.....	8
2.1	Widespread Concern about Aircraft Trails	8
2.2	Belfort Group’s Report on Aircraft Contrails (“Case Orange”)	8
2.3	Persistent and Non-Persistent Trails	9
2.4	New Documentary Presents Evidence of Ongoing of Geoengineering	9
2.5	Aircraft Identification and Tracking.....	10
2.6	Air Traffic Survey/Study	10
2.7	Easyjet “Long Trail and Short Trail”	10
2.8	Photos of Trails Left by These 2 Aircraft	13
2.9	Other Jet Trail Photographs from Around the UK.....	14
2.10	Video of Trails.....	16
2.11	Case Study - Derby - 04 Feb 2007.....	16
2.12	Case Study - Many Trails seen around the UK, and from Space – 18th Oct 2007.....	17
2.13	Newspaper coverage (UK)	20
3.	PLANETARY CHANGES	22
3.1	Venus – Changes in Composition of Atmosphere.....	22
3.2	Mars – Polar Ice and Hexagon Shape?.....	22
3.3	Jupiter	22
3.4	Saturn: “Saturn’s rotation puts astronomers in a spin”	24
3.5	Uranus	25
3.6	Neptune – “Seasonal Changes”?	26
3.7	Pluto: Pluto is undergoing global warming, researchers find	26
3.8	Possible Mechanism Behind these Changes?.....	27
3.9	Local Interstellar Medium.....	27
3.10	An Electrically Charged Solar System/Universe?	27
4.	SOLAR ACTIVITY AND CLIMATE AND THE MWP	28
4.1	Solar Activity.....	28
4.2	The Medieval Warming Period (MWP)	28
5.	WEATHER ANOMALIES - GEOENGINEERING ALREADY IN USE	29
5.1	Radar Anomalies.....	29
5.2	Weather Anomaly Photos from the Ground (Many more available).....	31
5.3	Hurricane Erin on 9/11	32
5.4	The HAARP project and Ionospheric Heaters	33
6.	AN ANSWER TO ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION & DESTRUCTION?.....	34
6.1	Investment in Energy Alternatives	34
6.2	Cold Fusion – Killed off by Vested Energy Interests.....	34
6.3	“Salter’s Duck” – Killed off in the 1980’s.....	34
6.4	Meyer Cell – Efficient Water Splitter – Emission-less Car	34
6.5	Safe Hydride Storage System for Hydrogen Car - Illegal	34
6.6	Other Energy Issues.....	34
7.	HOW SOUND IS THE BASIS FOR AGW CONCLUSIONS?	35
7.1	Critics of AGW	35
7.2	“Climategate” (Hadley CRU) – Admitted Science Fraud	35
7.3	Many Scientists Do Not Agree with AGW Theory	36
8.	CONCLUSIONS.....	39

FURTHER COPIES OF THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FROM
AD.JOHNSON@NTLWORLD.COM or from this url [HTTP://TINYURL.COM/REINVCC](http://tinyurl.com/reinvcc)

⁸⁸ http://www.petitionproject.org/instructions_for_signing_petition.php

⁸⁹ http://www.petitionproject.org/review_article.php

Re-Investigating Climate Change

Why it is NOT being caused by increased CO₂ emissions from human activity.

By

Andrew Johnson

(ad.johnson@ntlworld.com)

29th Dec 2010 – Updated 23rd Feb 2011

This is a revised version of a submission to the Solar Radiation Management
Governance Initiative (www.srmgi.org)

